

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/840,577

Applicant(s)

HEID, OLIVER

Examiner

Dixomara Vargas

Art Unit

2859

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dixomara Vargas (examiner).(3) Steven H. Noll.(2) Diego Gutierrez (SPE).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 May 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,489,848Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant's representative agree to submit a request for reconsideration explaining why the resin disclosed by Furukawa is not the heat insulator particularly since applicant discloses in claim 1 that a polymer is a heat insulator and since to a degree, the resin is a heat insulator.


Diego Gutierrez
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required